

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.412 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.03.2019**

Gurjant Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.187 dated 07.10.2018, under Sections 15/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Guhla, Kaithal, District Kaithal.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 05.02.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Contends that alleged recovery in this case is only 1 kg and 500 gms of poppy husk. Further contends that as on today there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the above factual position.

On his request, adjourned to 19.03.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and

surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh.

In view of above, interim order dated 05.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 19, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No