

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1392 of 2018
Date of decision: 3.10.2019

Amandeep Sharma

.. Petitioner

v.

Anjali Bhawara and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner for direction to the respondents for release of pensionary benefits was disposed of on 4.12.2017 with a direction to decide the legal notice dated 7.7.2017.

Pleading non-compliance of the direction, the contempt petition is filed.

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply dated 22.1.2018 by way of affidavit of Sh. Neena Nakra, Senior Medical Officer, Community Health Centre, Sudhar, District Ludhiana was filed.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that payment of General Provident Fund and Group Insurance Scheme has been released but the claim of the petitioner for death-cum-retirement gratuity, pension and leave encashment has been rejected. He relies upon the reply

(Annexure R-1) to the legal notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not disputing the fact that payment of General Provident Fund and Group Insurance Scheme has been received but he raises a grievance that no formal order has been communicated.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Bal Krishan, Senior Assistant, states that though reasons have been mentioned in the reply to the legal notice but formal order would be communicated to the petitioner within six weeks from today.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies available in accordance with law against the order to be communicated to him.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

3.10.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No