

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-1429-2008 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2019**

Iabal Kaur and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Ranjit Singh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ram Lal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Nehra, Advocate &
Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.09.2007 passed by this Court in CWP No. 12097 of 1999. The petitioners during pendency of contempt petition have received certain benefits as per the judgment of this Court. The dispute remains is with regard to the interest on the delayed payment and the compliance of the order of this Court dated 03.07.2018 in the contempt proceedings. The 2nd dispute is with regard to the allowances, not being granted to the petitioners for which the order has been passed, rejecting the claim. 3rd dispute is with regard to the calculations amount found due.

With regard to 1st issue, learned State counsel submits that calculations of the interest as per the order dated 03.07.2018 shall be made within 04 weeks from today and if any amount found due, the same would be paid within 02 months thereafter. He further submits that the detail of calculations would be provided to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of 2nd and 3rd grievances as raised above.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

It is clarified that in case, the statement made today is not adhered to by learned counsel for the respondents, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case, the petitioners are forced to revive the contempt petition, exemplary cost may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official.

It is further clarified that in case, there is a grievance with regard to the calculation of interest, the petitioners may move a representation to the respondents which shall be decided in accordance with law after providing an opportunity of hearing. If still aggrieved, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

7th November, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No