

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp No. 1295 of 2017

DECIDED ON: AUGUST 28, 2019

SHIVANI GUPTA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR NAGPAL

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The office has reported that bailable warrants of the respondent has been received back unserved with the report that he has left the house about 2 ½ years and his whereabouts are not known.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the possession has already been handed over to the petitioner.

In view of above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

However, it is made clear that disposal of contempt petition will not affect the rights available to the petitioner in accordance with law for other remedies.

AUGUST 28, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No