

416

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1369 of 2013
Date of Decision: 25.11.2019**

Indian Ex-Services League Punjab and Chandigarh, District Sangrur
through its Senior Vice-President

Petitioner

Versus

Jaspal Singh Sandhu, IAS, Principal Secretary, Department of Local
Government, Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. G.A. Attariwala, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The contempt petition is filed pleading wilful disobedience
of order dated 12.09.2006 passed in CWP No.7192 of 2005.

The relevant facts are that Municipal Council, Sangrur,
vide its resolution dated 15.01.1972, decided that name of '*Bara
Chowk*', Sangrur to be re-named as '*Vijay Chowk*' to commemorate
the victory of 1971 war. Thereafter, there was an attempt by the
Municipal Council, Sangrur to re-name the Chowk, aggrieved of the
same, petitioner filed a writ petition seeking direction to the
respondents especially the Municipal Council, Sangrur not to
change the name of '*Vijay Chowk*'.

Learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Council, Sangrur produced communication dated 21.03.2003 whereby permission granted to the Aggarwal Sabha was withdrawn. In view of the statement made, the writ petition was disposed of as infructuous. It was further ordered that in future, if there is any proposal to change the name of the Chowk concerned, a notice will be given to the petitioner.

The contempt petition is filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 12.09.2006.

During the pendency of contempt petition, reply by the respondents and rejoinder by the petitioner have been filed.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that till date the Chowk concerned in Sangrur is named as Vijay Chowk and there are boards displayed on the Chowk depicting its name.

In view of undisputed facts, no further interference is called for in the contempt proceedings.

The contempt petition is dismissed.

However, petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if something survives.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

November 25, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No