

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCF No.1184 of 2018
Date of Decision: 16.09.2019**

Salma @ Mangti

Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Kalia, Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 24.01.2018 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.2680 of 2018.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to enquire into the allegations made in the said representation and take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over

to learned counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith the reply, order dated 12.02.2018 has been produced.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that allegations have been looked into and rejected. He further states that cancellation report dated 11.07.2018 has been filed.

In view of said development, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, she seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order dated 12.02.2018 and cancellation report.

The contempt petition is disposed of, with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 16, 2019
pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |