

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

- | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| | 1. | COCP No.1114 of 2018 |
| Inerjeet | | ... Petitioner |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |
| | 2. | COCP No.1115 of 2018 |
| Purshotam and others | | ... Petitioners |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |
| | 3. | COCP No.1116 of 2018 |
| Rattan Singh | | ... Petitioner |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |
| | 4. | COCP No.1117 of 2018 |
| Shiv Lal | | ... Petitioner |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |
| | 5. | COCP No.1118 of 2018 |
| Jagan Singh | | ... Petitioner |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |
| | 6. | COCP No.1119 of 2018 |
| Dharampal | | ... Petitioner |
| | Vs. | |
| T.L. Satyaparkash | | ... Respondent |

Date of Decision:07.02.2019

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
 for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondent.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

All the aforementioned contempt petitions are being disposed

of by this common order being identical.

In all the contempt petitions, there is disobedience to the orders vide which directions were issued to take a final decision to consider petitioners' claim for allotment of the plot and if there is no legal impediment.

Learned senior counsel for the respondent submits that claim of the petitioner (s) has since been admitted and the petitioner (s) are found entitled to the allotment of plot. It is further submitted that letter of intent has been issued to them.

Accordingly, the contempt petitions are disposed of. Rule is discharged.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

07.02.2019
rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No