

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1066 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.09.2019

Sukhwant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

A. Venu Parshad and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate
Ms. Promila Nain, Advocate and
Ms. Harveen Mehta, Advocate
for respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

C.M. No. 18506-CII of 2019

Application is allowed.

Additional affidavit is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

COCP No. 1066 of 2017

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the petitioner has received the retiral benefits and interest thereon.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

In pursuance of the order dated 26.8.2019 Er. Baldev Singh Sran, CMD, PSPCL Patiala and Er. Amarjit Singh, Addl. S.E. PSPCL are present in Court. It has been informed that two official namely Mr. Iqbal Singh and Mr. Mukhtiar Singh, Superintendents have been pin-pointed as

defaulting officials and they have been charge-sheeted. It is further submitted that the interest which the respondents had to pay for the delay on part of the officials, the same would be recovered personally from the defaulting officials. He further submits that instructions have been issued in the department that in case such a delay occurs in future for releasing the retiral benefits, it would be till the highest officer concerned who would be taken to task for the default.

Let compliance report be filed in the Registry.

10.09.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No