

339

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1012 of 2009
Date of Decision: 03.12.2019**

Savita

Petitioner

Versus

Devi Ram and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. K.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

CRM-M No.1253 of 2009 filed by the petitioner was disposed of on 11.02.2009 as learned counsel for the parties had agreed that petitioner should approach the Assistant Sub Inspector, Police Station Sadar, Gohana on 13.02.2009 and he will ensure handing over possession and peaceful enjoyment of the property by the petitioner.

It is clarified at this stage that the statement was made by the learned counsel appearing for respondents No.7 to 13 (in the criminal petition) that there was no hurdle for petitioner to take possession and to live peacefully in the ancestral property which vested with her husband.

At this stage, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that petitioner is in possession of ancestral property vested with her husband.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner raises grievance that certain articles belonging to her husband have not been returned.

The said aspect cannot be gone into the contempt petition as directions of this Court were with regard to taking possession of ancestral property. The contempt petition is disposed of.

Needless to add that the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance, if any.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

December 03, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No