

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-230-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 11.01.2019

M/s Chandan Arvind Construction Company ...Applicant

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate, for the applicant.
Mr. Shireesh Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.
Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate, for respondent No. 3.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This is an application under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of an arbitrator.

2. Though learned counsel for the respondents tried to resist but could not dispute the fact that the agreement between the parties contains an arbitration clause and they have failed to appoint an arbitrator with consent.
3. In view of the aforesaid, Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), Punjab, # 41, Windsor Park, Gulab Devi Road, Jalandhar, is appointed as the arbitrator to adjudicate upon disputes and differences between the parties. The fees shall be as per the Chandigarh Arbitration Centre (CAC) (Administrative Cost and Arbitrators' Fees) Rules, 2014 or as may be settled by the parties and the arbitrator.
4. The application stands disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

11.01.2019
Amogh/ravinder

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No