

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.106

CRM-M-85-2019
Date of decision : 4.1.2019

Sushila

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. K.L Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that after the death of her husband, she is being harassed in her in-laws house. Fed up with the harassment, she is now residing in the house of one of her brother-in-law, but the private respondents (father-in-law and other brothers-in-law) are trying to force her to come back to their house. Although, a representation dated 28.12.2018 (Annexure P1) has been submitted, the police is not taking any action thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondent Nos.4 to 7, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P1) and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

4.1.2019

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes/ No