

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-205-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 05.04.2019

M/s Sanyog Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.
...Applicant
Versus
Union of India and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Ms. Ekakshra Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.
* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This is an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an arbitrator.

2. Admittedly, clause 35 of the agreement between the parties contains an arbitration clause, wherein any dispute has to be referred to the Director, PGIMER, Chandigarh for arbitration. The applicant invoked the arbitration clause by issuing letter/legal notice dated 27.03.2018, but the respondents denied the appointment of an arbitrator. In view of the agreement between the parties containing an arbitration clause, the arbitrator is to be appointed for resolution of disputes and differences between the parties.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I hereby appoint Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.J. Vazifdar, former Chief Justice of this Court, now residing at H. No. 24, Maison Belvedere 107, Maharashi Karve Road, Mumbai, Maharashtra, to act as an arbitrator to resolve the disputes and differences between the parties. The fee shall be as may be settled by the parties with the learned arbitrator.

4. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

05.04.2019
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No