310

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-36-1994 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2019

DR. THAKAR SINGH GILL

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

KARAMJIT SINGH GILL AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate
for the respondents.

****

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (*Oral*)

The present contempt petition is filed pleading that there is violation of judgment and decree dated 31.08.1984. The suit filed by the petitioner/plaintiff was decreed. The defendants were permanently restrained from making any alienation of any specific khasra number of defined portion, out of the suit property, till the regular partition of the property was affected between the parties. The contempt petition was filed pleading that the respondents in violation of judgment and decree, alienated the specific portion of the suit land.

Learned Senior counsel for the respondents places reliance upon the para No. 5 of the reply filed by the respondent No. 1 in the contempt petition. Therein it is pleaded that the respondent had sold their share and not any specific portion of the suit land.

Today, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date, there was no representation.

SHABHA
2019.11.28 16:40
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

With the passage of time, there is fair possibility that even the partition proceedings might have been concluded.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition, if cause of action still survives.

22nd November, 2019 **(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**
shabha **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

SHABHA
2019.11.28 16:40
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document