

285 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.04.2019

Nagma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana assisted by SI Sombir.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5.

Vide order dated 02.01.2019, the respondents No.1 to 3-State were directed to verify the age of the petitioners as well as to find out whether there is threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners or not.

Learned counsel for the State has filed reply by way of affidavit of Harinder Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, in Court today and the same is taken on record. In this reply, it has been stated that the petitioners had, in fact, never approached the police authorities for any grievance regarding threat to their lives and liberty. Otherwise also, when petitioner No.1 was traced out, she had made a statement that she has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 out of her free will and that she wants to live with petitioner No.2 only. She does not want to stay with her parents.

Even the statements of the private respondents were recorded

by the police. The private respondents have also stated that they do not
HEMLATA
2019.05.01 16:18
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

have any objection to the marriage of the petitioners and that the petitioners can reside, wherever they so desire. They do not intend to harm the petitioners in any manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not have any knowledge of whereabouts of the petitioners as of today. None of the petitioners have approached him again, after the interim order was passed by this Court.

In view of the above, it is obvious that the matter have since been settled between the parties. Therefore, it appears that there is no threat to the life and liberty to the petitioners. Therefore, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

29.04.2019

Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether reportable

Yes / No