

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-124-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 05.04.2019

M/s Usha Investment
Versus
Ansal Properties and Infrastructure Ltd.

...Applicant
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Kashyap, Advocate,
for the applicant.

None for the respondent.
* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This is an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an arbitrator.

2. Despite service, no one is present on behalf of the respondent.

3. Clause 34 of the agreement between the parties provides for reference of any dispute for arbitration to a sole arbitrator to be appointed by the respondent-developer. Despite invocation of the arbitration clause by a letter/legal notice dated 30.12.2016, the respondent failed to appoint the arbitrator.

4. In these circumstances, I hereby appoint Mr. Justice M.M.S. Bedi, a retired Judge of this Court, now residing at H. No. 2152, Sector 44-C, Chandigarh-160047, to function as an arbitrator to resolve the disputes and differences between the parties. The fee shall be as may be settled by the parties with the arbitrator.

5. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

05.04.2019
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No