

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. KESHA VA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.30886 of 2014

ORDER:

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The prayer sought in the writ petition is as under:-

“....to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the in action of the official respondents in not considering the complaint dated 19.06.2014, 16.09.2014 and 22.09.2014 made by the petitioner against the unofficial respondents as illegal arbitrary and in violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, consequently direct the official respondents to take the action against the unofficial respondents on the petitioner's complaints mentioned above and pass such other order and orders deemed fit and proper.”

3. Learned Government Pleader placed on record the written instructions issued by the Inspector of Police, Madannapet Police Station, Hyderabad.

4. From a perusal of the said instructions, it is revealed that the petitioner approached the 4th respondent and lodged a complaint on 16.09.2014 stating that he is the owner and in possession of the land admeasuring 488 sq. yards situated at Old Ediga Road, Madannapet, Hyderabad. He is in possession of the property since 1965 and his name has been recorded in the municipal records. Subsequently, he purchased the said property and got mutated in the concerned records. At present, he is residing in the said house. However, the local known unsocial elements/land grabbers, viz., Abdul Khader and others, with an intention to grab the said property, taking advantage of his old age, developed evil eye over the said property and created some fabricated

documents in collusion and trying to grab the house property. In that connection they made an attempt on 13.06.2014 at about 6.30 p.m. by trespassing into his premises, but due to timely intervention of his relatives, the said persons went away. Therefore, he requested the police to take necessary action. Upon receipt of the said complaint, an entry was made in the General Diary of the Station on 16.09.2014 at 1900 hours stating that this is a civil nature case and after detailed enquiry, action will be taken. During the course of enquiry, it was revealed that there are some disputes with regard to the subject property of the petitioner herein against the persons named in his complaint. Hence, the respondent police orally advised the petitioner to seek redressal in a competent civil Court, as such, no action was taken on it.

5. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that there are no merits in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand dismissed.

19th December 2019
mar

P. KESHAVA RAO, J