

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

C.C.NO.2970 OF 2018

ORDER

Aggrieved by the proceedings of the State Level Police Recruitment Board, represented by its Chairman, State of Telangana, dated 29.05.2013, in canceling his provisional selection to the post of SCT PC (AR) (Men) Constable, on the ground he has suppressed the fact of his involved in Cr.No.54 of 2009, for the offence under Section 34(a) of the A. P. Excise Act, on the file of Police Station, Tadwai, Nizamabad District, in the attestation form; petitioner filed W.P.No.37401 of 2017. This court disposed of the writ petition by order dated 27.04.2018, and the operative portion reads as under:

“4. . . the impugned order dated 29-05-2013 is set aside and the respondent – authorities directed to reconsider the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law and also in the light of the guidelines laid down by the Supreme Court and this Court in that behalf, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

Complaining disobedience of the above order of this court by the respondent, who is the Chairman, Telangana State Level Police Recruitment Board, the present contempt case is filed.

Counter affidavit is filed on behalf of the respondent and along with the counter affidavit, proceedings of the respondent in Rc.No.231/Rect/Admn.4/2017-18, dated 05.12.2018, is filed, to show that the order of this court has been complied with.

Sri M.V.Rama Rao, learned counsel appearing for the respondent, submits that in pursuance of the above directions of this court, the case of the petitioner was reconsidered and rejected vide proceedings dated 05.12.2018, as he has suppressed the information in the attestation form with regard to his involvement in criminal case, as such, there is no disobedience of the directions of this court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has not suppressed any information in the attestation form and the counter affidavit of the respondent goes to show that petitioner has suppressed the information of his involvement in the criminal case in the attestation form and that on verification, it is found that the said criminal case is under investigation, but whereas in the proceedings dated 05.12.2018 it is stated that the petitioner has suppressed information with regard to his involvement in the criminal and his conviction in the attestation form. It is stated that petitioner was implicated in false case after the contempt case is filed.

As per the directions of this court, respondent passed orders and the correctness or otherwise of the same, cannot be gone into in the contempt case. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the proceedings dated 5.12.2018, he is always at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law.

As the orders of this court have been complied with, I do not find any contempt on the part of the respondent and the contempt case is closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to challenge the proceedings dated 5.12.2018, in accordance with law.

Interlocutory applications pending, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

DATE:04—04—2019

AVS