

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.25061 OF 2019

Dated:15.11.2019

Between:

Sheganti Renuka, D/o. Sheganti Gangaram,
Aged about 57 years, Occ: Govt. Employee,
R/o.2-10-57, Mahankali Temple Lane,
Near Uppal X Road, Uppal Mandal,
Medchal-Malkajgiri District, Hyderabad .. Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana, rep., by its
Principal Secretary, Transport Department,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad and others .. Respondents



This Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.25061 OF 2019

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Transport.

2. Petitioner claims to have purchased Mercedes Benz Motor Car for an invoice of Rs.37,56,599/-. At the time of purchase, petitioner claims to have paid life tax of Rs.5,23,905/- and she was given temporary registration number as TS 09 CRTR 3605. Subsequently, when petitioner approached the respondents to register her vehicle permanently, she was asked to pay additional amount of Rs.1,01,815/- towards life tax on ex-show room price of the vehicle instead of net invoice price. According to petitioner, life tax is payable on the invoice, but not on the ex-showroom price and therefore petitioner is not required to pay the additional tax demanded.

3. In support of the claim that petitioner is not required to pay additional amount demanded by the respondents, learned counsel placed reliance on the decision in W.P.No.5286 of 2018, dated 02.05.2018, which was upheld by the Division Bench in W.A.No.805 of 2018, dated 29.01.2019.

4. Learned Single Judge of this Court held that the State cannot be allowed to levy life tax on the ex-showroom price shown in the price list, when it is not the actual cost of the vehicle and the life tax has to be levied on the actual cost of the vehicle as paid by the purchaser of the vehicle which can be

reflected from the invoice. This view of learned Single Judge was upheld by the Division Bench of this Court.

5. Learned Government Pleader does not dispute the fact that the issue is covered by the decision referred to above.

6. Following the earlier decision in W.P.No.5286 of 2018 dated 02.05.2018, this Writ Petition is also allowed directing the respondents to register Mercedes Benz Motor Car of the petitioner without demanding additional life tax, if the life tax already paid based on the invoice dated 24.10.2019 is as per the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act and the vehicle is fulfilling all other parameters for registration. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Date:15.11.2019

KH

P.NAVEEN RAO, J