

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 24950 of 2019

Date : 18.11.2019

Between:

Rajesh Singh S/o Lakahn Sing
Age 50 years Occ Agriculture
R/o 8-1-411 Shaikpet Hyderabad

Petitioner

And

The state of Telangana
rep By its Principal Secretary Revenue Department
Secretariat Hyderabad 500 022 & others

Respondents

The Court made the following:



HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 24950 of 2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue and with their consent the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. Petitioner claims that he purchased land to a total extent of Ac.2.38 guntas in various survey numbers vide four sale deeds. Earlier in the Revenue Records, land to an extent of Ac.1.33 guntas was only shown and accordingly pattadar pass books and title deeds were issued to petitioner. Petitioner was protesting against wrong reflection of entries of land purchased by him. Thereafter in the Revenue Records, the extent of land was shown as Ac.2.10 guntas and accordingly pattadar pass books were also issued. However, still there is shortfall of entry in the Revenue Records to an extent of Ac.0.28 guntas. Petitioner submitted representation on 16.10.2017 to correct the Revenue Records in terms of sale deeds executed in his favour. Alleging that appropriate orders are not passed in spite of representation made, this writ petition is filed.

3. From the material on record, it is seen that Tahsildar passed orders in July, 2018 granting mutation only to the extent of Ac.2.10 guntas. If petitioner is aggrieved by the orders of the Tahsildar, he has to avail the remedy of appeal. Learned counsel for petitioner sought to contend that it is a clerical mistake and that could have been corrected. From the material on record, it is seen that petitioner has not made a request to Tahsildar to correct alleged clerical mistake and has chosen to straightaway file this writ petition. If petitioner contends that it is a clerical error, he has to request the Tahsildar to rectify the clerical error and if the order of the Tahsildar is on merits, it appears to be so, then

remedy of appeal is available to petitioner. Without exhausting such remedies, petitioner has straightaway filed this writ petition.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is dismissed granting liberty to petitioner to avail the remedies available to him under law. It is needless to observe that as and when such application/appeal is filed, same should be considered objectively within a reasonable period. No costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, are closed.

P NAVEEN RAO,J

DATE: 18-11-2019
TVK



HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO



WRIT PETITION No. 24950 of 2019

Date : 18.11.2019