

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T. AMARNATH GOUD

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6611 of 2019

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is filed by the petitioners/A-1 to A-5 to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.5631 of 2019 on the file of the V Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at L.B.Nagar, registered in Cr. No.80 of 2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the D.P.Act.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the marriage of the *de facto* complainant with A-1 was solemnized on 28-05-2015. A-2 and A-3 are parents and A-4 and A-5 are sisters of A-1. At the time of marriage, parents of *de facto* complainant gave cash of Rs.2.00 lakhs towards dowry. After marriage, *de facto* complainant was looked well for some time and thereafter, all the accused harassed the *de facto* complainant both physically and mentally to bring additional dowry. In spite of efforts made by the parents of the complainant, the accused did not change their attitude. Hence, the complaint.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State and perused the material on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they are falsely implicated in this case. Even if the allegations made in the complaint are taken as true and correct, no

case is made out against the petitioners. Hence, he prays to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the allegations made against the petitioners do constitute the offences against the petitioners. Hence, there are no grounds to quash the proceedings.

6. A perusal of the record shows that the marriage of the complainant with A-1 was solemnized as stated supra. It further reveals that A-2 to A-5 who are the in-laws and sisters-in-law of the complainant subjected her both physically and mentally to bring additional dowry from her parents. Though out of their wedlock, a daughter was born to *de facto* complainant, the petitioners harassed that the baby was not born to her. They also instigated A-1 to harass the *de facto* complainant and necked her out of the house so as to bring additional dowry.

7. The allegations levelled against the petitioners *prima facie* made out the offences against the petitioners. Hence, there are no grounds to quash the proceedings.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

T. AMARNATH GOUD, J

Dated: 21.10.2019
kvrn