

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

W.P.No.22010 of 2019

ORDER :

The petitioner filed an application for mutation of his name in the revenue records of land in Sy.Nos.37 and 118 of Echoda village and Mandal, in physical form requesting to mutate his name. By order dated 06.08.2019, impugned herein, said request was rejected.

The learned counsel for petitioner submits that the land is standing in the name of the grand father of the petitioner therefore, the stand of Tahsildar that some other persons are in occupation is not correct and this ought to have been verified by the Tahsildar. He further contends that other reasons assigned by Tahsildar are also erroneous and amounts to arbitrary exercise of powers.

The basic issue is regarding mutation of name of the petitioner, which was not accepted by the Tahsildar. Incidentally, certain observations were also made by the Tahsildar. Against decision of Tahsildar remedy of appeal is available. In the appeal against the order of the Tahsildar all the contentions raised in the

writ petition can as well be urged before the Appellate Authority including the alleged observations of the Tahsildar in his order. Since petitioner has an effective and efficacious remedy of appeal this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition. Hence, leaving it open to petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal and raise all the pleas including the pleas urged in this writ petition, this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

Date: 14.10.2019
LSK