

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2019
and
Crl.P.No.5709 of 2018

COMMON ORDER

The criminal petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking to quash the proceedings in Cr.No.136 of 2017 on the file of Bollaram Police Station, Sangareddy District, registered for the offences under Sections 403, 406, 424, 201 IPC, against the petitioners/A1 to A4.

2. I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2019 are filed under Sections 320(6) and 320(2) of Cr.P.C., by both parties seeking leave of this Court to compound the offences and to record compromise as the matter was settled out of the Court. Along with the affidavits, they filed a joint memo of compromise stating that the 2nd respondent lodged a complaint stating that while she was working as Plant Head in VIVI Med Labs Limited, IDA, Bollaram, their company had purchased a plant from the company of the petitioners i.e., Hezen Pharmaceuticals Limited, situated at Anrich Industrial Area, IDA, Bollaram, which was running in the name of Octtantis Noble Labs, and that on 10.08.2016 at about 10.00 PM., the S.I. of Police, IDA Bollaram Police Station, along with his staff came to their plaint and stated that as per the directions of the Court, the police kept the case property in Cr.No.41 of 2009 in card board room, store room and laboratory frizz by sealing and they want to check the same, but they did not found any case property in the said places, later the S.I. of Police conducted panchanama in the presence of a mediator. It is

stated that the name of the 2nd respondent was shown as complainant falsely in the said compliant and that at the time of purchase i.e., on 05.10.2012, the petitioners have not handed over any property belongs to the criminal case, and after knowing the same, the 2nd respondent agreed to withdraw the present complaint against the petitioners.

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the 2nd respondent/de-facto complainant and the petitioners/A1 to A4 are present and they are identified by their respective counsel. They filed xerox copies of their aadhar cards before this Court. When this Court enquired the parties, the de-facto complainant and the accused stated that they entered into compromise due to intervention of the elders.

4. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, I find that it is a fit case to record compromise between parties and to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/A1 to A4.

5. In the result, I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2019 are ordered. Consequently, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in Cr.No.136 of 2017 on the file of Bollaram Police Station, Sangareddy District, are hereby quashed against the petitioners/A1 to A4.

6. Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending in the criminal petition, shall stand closed.

G. SRI DEVI, J

16th November, 2019

sj

