

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE G.SRI DEVI
CRIMINAL PETITION No.6102 of 2019

ORDER:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

2. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner/A8 with a prayer to quash all further proceedings in connection with crime No.02 of 2019 of CBI, BSFB, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 468 and 471 r/w 120-B IPC and to stay all further proceedings in the said crime.

3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the F.I.R. registered against the petitioner is nothing but sheer abuse of process of law and not at all maintainable on the face of record. It is also submitted that there is no specific or particular averment or allegation in the entire F.I.R. which is attributable to the petitioner. In the absence of such specific or particular averment or allegation implicating the petitioner, no case whatsoever can be made out against the petitioner. Thus, prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioner.

4. Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the petition.

5. The law regarding sufficiency of grounds which may justify quashing of FIR in a given case is well settled. The Court has to eschew itself from embarking upon a roaring enquiry into the details of the case. It is also not advisable to adjudge whether the case shall ultimately end in submission of charge sheet and then eventually in conviction or not. Only a *prima facie* satisfaction of the Court about the existence of sufficient ingredients constituting the offence is required in order to see whether the FIR requires to be investigated or deserves quashing. The ambit of investigation into the alleged offence is an independent area of operation and does not at all for interference in the same except in rarest of rare cases. The operational liberty to collect sufficient material, if there exists any, cannot be scuttled prematurely by any uncalled for over-stepping of the Court. It has to be an extremely discreet exercise. The Hon'ble Apex Court's decisions given in the case of ***R.P. Kapur vs. The State of Punjab***¹ and in the case of ***State of Haryana vs. Bhajan Lal***² have also recognized certain categories by way of illustration which may justify quashing of a complaint or charge sheet and the same may also be good grounds to quashing the FIR. Some of them also akin to the illustrative examples given in the case of ***Smt.Nagawwa vs. Veeranna***

¹ AIR 1960 SC 866

² 1992 SCC (Cri) 426

Shivalingappa Konjalgi³. The case is whether the allegations made against the accused in the FIR or the evidence collected by the investigating officer do not constitute any offence or whether the allegations are absurd or extremely improbable or impossible to believe or whether the Prosecution is illegally barred or whether the criminal proceedings are malicious and malafied, instituted only for ulterior motive of wreaking vengeance may be, illustratively, the fit cases for the High Court in which the FIR or the criminal proceedings may be quashed. If a particular case falls in some such categories as recognized by the Apex Court in **Bhajan Lal's case (supra)**, it may justify the interference by this Court in exercise of its inherent power as provided in the Code of Criminal Procedure or in exercise of its power vested by the Constitution of India.

6. Illuminated by the case law hereinabove, this Court has adverted to the entire facts available on record. The submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner call for a determination on pure questions of fact which may adequately discerned either through proper investigation or which may be adjudicated upon only by the trial Court. This Court does not deem it proper to suffocate the ambit and scope of independent investigation into the case. A thread-bare discussion of various facts and circumstances, as they embark from the allegations made against the accused, is

³ (1976) 3 SCC 736

being purposely avoided by the Court for the reason that the same might cause any prejudice to either side during investigation or trial. But, it shall suffice to observe that a perusal of the record makes out *prima facie* offence at this stage and there appears to be sufficient grounds for investigation in the case. I do not find any justification to quash the proceedings against the accused arising out of it as the case does not fall in any of the categories recognized by the Apex Court which may justify their quashing. Thus, the prayer for quashing the proceedings in Crime No.02 of 2019 of CBI, BSFB, Hyderabad, is refused as I do not find any breach of constitutional provisions or any abuse of process of law.

7. However, considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, it is hereby directed that the investigation in the above case shall go on, but the petitioner/A8 shall not be arrested till the submission of the final report under Section 173 (2) of Cr.P.C., subject to his extending full cooperation during investigation.

8. With the above said direction, this Criminal Petition is disposed of. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE G.SRI DEVI

DATED:25.09.2019

Hsd



