

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

W.P.No.20014 of 2019

ORDER

This writ petition is filed with the following prayer:

“ to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declare the action of the respondent no.2 herein not granting permission for holding Rally on 16.09.2019 by 10:AM from Martyrs column (Amaravirula Stupam) Adalath to Ekashila Park, Hanamakonda and follows Dharna from 11:AM to 5:00Pm as per petitioner application dated:26.08.2019 as being illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and violation of Article 14, 19 & 21 of the Constitution of India and against the Rule of law and pass such other order or orders may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

(reproduced Verbatim)

Though the application has been filed by the petitioner on 26-08-2019 for conducting the meeting on 16-09-2019, when the matter is listed on 13-09-2019, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home took time and the matter was directed to be listed Yesterday i.e. on 16-09-2019, but the same was not listed. When the matter is listed today, learned counsel for the petitioner says that no orders are passed on the application of the petitioner as on today, though they propose to hold meeting on 16-09-2019 and relied on the judgment of Supreme Court in **RAMLILA MAIDAN INCIDENT, IN RE** reported in (2012) 5 Supreme Court Cases 1. But Sri Sarath, learned Special Government Pleader appearing on behalf of State submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

Though the application is filed on 26-08-2019 stating that the petitioner will conduct meeting on 16-09-2019, even after filing

of writ petition no orders are passed on the application of the petitioner. Learned Special Government Pleader states that the application is devoid of particulars and that respondents have to make enquiries for considering the application. Having failed to pass any orders on the application, the respondents cannot report that the matter has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also states that the petitioner intends to conduct meeting on 25-09-2019 and he will make fresh application.

In view of the same, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to make fresh application by giving all particulars and on such application, the respondents are to consider the same and pass orders.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. No costs.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

17-09-2019

Nvl

Note: Issue C.C. forthwith.