

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

W.P.NO.18858 OF 2019

O R D E R

The grievance of the petitioner is that, though he obtained building permission from the 3rd respondent – Gram Panchayat and proceeding with the construction in the subject land, the Panchayat Secretary of the 3rd respondent, is interfering with his construction activity. Hence the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Sri G.Narender Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent submits that as the petitioner is making construction in excess of the land owned by him, villagers have made complaint and based on the same, the construction activity of the petitioner was directed to be stopped, and hence no exception can be taken.

Heard learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj for respondents 1 and 2.

It is to be seen that as long as the building permission obtained by the petitioner is in force and the petitioner is proceeding with the construction in conformity with the said permission, 3rd respondent cannot cause interference to the construction activity of the petitioner. In case, petitioner makes construction by occupying Gram Panchayat land, or in violation of the sanctioned plan, it is always open to the 3rd respondent to take appropriate action in accordance with law, after giving notice and opportunity of hearing.

With the above direction, writ petition is disposed of.

Interlocutory applications pending, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

DATE:24—09—2019

AVS