

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. KESHA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.21765 of 2012

ORDER:

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

The prayer sought in the writ petition is as under:

“... to issue a writ, order or direction particularly a writ in the nature of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 in taking cognizance of offences committed by the respondent Nos.4 to 6 by registering an FIR, despite receipt of the complaint dated 06.04.2012 and reminder dated 06.06.2012 addressed by the petitioner's association furnishing the information about the offences committed by the respondent Nos.4 to 6 as illegal, arbitrary, and void being violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to register the FIR against the respondent Nos.4 to 6 and take apt action in accordance with law.”

Learned Government Pleader appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3 submitted that the 3rd respondent filed counter affidavit. In the counter affidavit it is stated that the petitioner has sent a petition/complaint dated 06.04.2012 which was received on 06.06.2012 requesting the 3rd respondent to take action against respondent Nos.4 to 6 as they are causing irreparable damage to the hockey players of the affiliated clubs and the reputation of Hyderabad Hockey Association and also to handover all accounts till that date and the keys of the office of the Hyderabad Hockey Association situated in the premises of Begumpet Police Station, Secunderabad. On such petition, the 3rd respondent enquired and revealed that no action whatsoever was taken on the said petition/complaint since the dispute appears to be civil in nature and mainly the dispute of the petitioner is an internal dispute in their Hockey Association. Since the dispute between the petitioner and respondent Nos.4 to 6 is purely an internal one and civil in nature, the 3rd respondent advised the petitioner to settle the issue in a competent Court of law and as such, no action whatsoever was taken on the complaint/petition dated 06.04.2012. It is further mentioned that since the dispute is purely relating to the internal affairs of the administration of Hockey Association and which is predominantly civil in nature, respondent Nos.1 to 3 cannot interfere in their

affairs. The other allegations made against the respondent police are specifically denied.

Having regard to the said facts, this Court is of the opinion that no further cause would survive in the writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed.

P. KESHA VA RAO, J

Date: 03.09.2019.
ES

