

HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.3982 of 2019

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is filed by the petitioners/A2 and A13, seeking to grant anticipatory bail in Crime No.59 of 2019 of Chinthalapalem Police Station, Suryapet District, registered for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 448, 427, 354B, 307, 324 read with Section 149 IPC and under Section 7 of Criminal Amendment Act, 1932.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners/A2 and A13, learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that due to political rivalries on account of election of the daughter-in-law of the de-facto complainant as MPTC from TRS party, all the accused relating to Congress party attacked the de-facto complainant, on 08.06.2019 at 6.30 AM., with iron rods and sticks, beat him on his right leg, hands and other parts of his body with iron rods and when he went unconscious, they left on the impression that he dead, and thereafter, they trespassed into the house of his daughter-in-law, damaged the house and four bikes and also beat their relatives.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the mother of the 1st petitioner/A2 got elected as Village Sarpanch of Thammavaram Village in the month of January, 2019 from Congress party and that on 07.06.2019, the daughter of the de-facto

complainant along with TRS party leaders had conducted a rally and came before the house of the 1st petitioner, insulted the congress party with slogans, abused and insulted his mother and also attacked with sticks on her and damaged their house with stones and that his father received injury on his hand, due to which, the 1st petitioner also lodged a complaint before the SHO Chintalapalem Police Station, and that on 08.06.2019 also a quarrel took place between the parties and both parties have received injuries. He further submits that there are no specific allegations against the petitioners/A2 and A13 and thus, he prays to enlarge them on anticipatory bail.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the 1st petitioner was arrayed as A1 in another crime and three more criminal cases were pending against him and therefore, he is not entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.

6. In view of the serious nature of allegations made against the petitioners/A2 and A13 and in view of pendency of the criminal cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to them.

7. Hence, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

8. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending in this criminal petition, shall stand dismissed.

G. SRI DEVI, J

22nd July 2019

sj