

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. KESHA VA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION No.23862 of 2014**

**ORDER:**

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

2. The prayer sought in the writ petition is as under:-

“....to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction, declaring the high handed action of the respondents No.4 & 5 herein, in interfering with the Civil dispute between the petitioners and the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent herein, by threatening the petitioners to register false criminal cases against them and their family members under S.C. & S.T. Act, as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction, in utter violation of Articles 19, 21 & 51 of the Constitution of India, and further direct the respondents 4 & 5 herein, not to interfere with the Civil dispute between the petitioners and the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent herein and not to harass the petitioners by summoning to the police station frequently and pass such other order or orders as may be just and necessary.”

3. Learned Government Pleader placed on record the written instructions issued by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Uppal Police Station, Cyberabad.

4. From a perusal of the said written instructions, it is revealed that the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent herein has lodged a complaint, dated 04.08.2014, with the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent stating that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner's husband, by name, P.Vijay Reddy, assured the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent to get the job of a staff nurse to her, which lead the payment of Rs.3,00,000/- to him. But, the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner's husband failed to do so. When the complainant/6<sup>th</sup> respondent asked the husband of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner to return the amount, the petitioners herein abused her in filthy language and hence, requested the police to take necessary action. Pursuant thereto, a case in Crime No.565 of 2014 for the

offences under Sections 420 and 506 IPC was registered on 04.08.2014 against P.Vijaya Reddy and the petitioner herein on the file of the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent Police Station. During the course of investigation, three witnesses were examined and recorded their detailed statements. Only to divert the attention of the investigating agency, the present writ petition has been filed to escape from arrest. Apart from that, instead of co-operating with the investigating agency, the present writ petition is filed with baseless allegations. The contention of the petitioners that the respondent police interfered with the civil dispute between the petitioners and the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent and detained the petitioners in the police station is specifically denied, since it is a baseless allegation. In fact, as part of investigation, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent has called the petitioners for the purpose of investigation.

5. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that there are no merits in the writ petition and the same is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

12<sup>th</sup> December 2019

mar

---

**P. KESHA VA RAO, J**