

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11484 OF 2019

DATE : 12.06.2019

Between :

M/s. Sai Krupa Ventures at plot no.446/1,
Road No.20, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad, rep.by
its Proprietor Mr. Kancham Rajeshwar,
s/o. K.Ramaiah, Aged about 53 years,
occu:Business, r/o.Hyderabad.

..... Petitioner

and

The State of Telangana, rep.by its Prl.Secretary,
Transport Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad,
and others.

.... Respondents



This Court made the following :

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11484 OF 2019

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Transport.

2. Petitioner claims to have purchased a motor Car Mercedes Benz M/s. Raam Autobahn India Pvt. Ltd., for an invoice of Rs.56,72,164/-. Originally the ex-showroom retail price was fixed at 67,25,364/-, and after discount, invoice was raised at

56,72,164/-. At the time of purchase, petitioner claims to have paid life tax of Rs.7,94,110/-, and he was given temporary registration number. When petitioner approached the respondents to register his vehicle permanently, he was asked to deposit an additional amount of 1,47,448/- towards life tax holding that petitioner paid less amount than the tax payable on Ex-Showroom price of the vehicle. According to petitioner life tax is payable on the invoice but not on the ex-showroom price and, therefore, petitioner is not required to pay the additional tax demanded.

3. In support of the claim that petitioner is not required to pay additional amount demanded by the respondents, he placed reliance on the decision in W.P.No.5286 of 2018, which was upheld by the Division Bench in W.A.No.805 of 2018.

4. Learned Single Judge of this Court held that the State cannot be allowed to levy life tax on the ex-showroom price shown in the price list, when it is not the actual cost of the vehicle and the life tax has to be levied on the actual cost of the vehicle as paid by the purchaser of the vehicle which can be

reflected from the invoice. This view of learned Single Judge was upheld by the Division Bench of this Court.

5. Learned Government Pleader does not dispute the fact that the issue is covered by the decision referred to above.

6. Following the earlier decision in W.P.No.5286 of 2018 dated 02.05.2018, this Writ Petition is also allowed directing the respondents to register motor Car i.e, Mercedes Benz, without demanding additional life tax, if the life tax already paid based on the invoice dated 21.05.2019 is as per the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act and the vehicle is fulfilling all other parameters for registration. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

Date: 12.06.2019
kkm

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO



WRIT PETITION No.11484 OF 2019

DATE: 12.06.2019

kkm