

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WP.No.10317 of 2019

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed with the following prayer:

“....to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondent No.2 in not granting permission to the petitioner herein to conduct a religious meeting i.e. Jalsa Youmul Quran on 17-05-2019 at Macca Masjid, Hyderabad despite giving representations and reminders dated 29-03-2019 and 10-05-2019 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional as violating Articles 25 to 28 of the constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to grant permission to conduct the same on the said date so as to perform the prayer and worship peacefully by the Muslim community as usual and pass such other order or orders may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Social Welfare submits that the petitioner sought permission for conducting religious meeting on 17-05-2019. Because of election code, the matter was referred to Chief Electoral Officer, who in turn referred the matter to Election Commission of India and the Election Commission of India vide letter dated 22-05-2019 informed the Chief Electoral Officer, Telangana that as said date i.e. 17-05-2019 has already elapsed there is no need to consider the issue and he also submits that the application filed by the other parties in respect of the same issue is considered and G.O.Rt.No.88 Minorities Welfare (ESTT.I) Department, dated 28.05.2019 is issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that the petitioner has been discriminated and similar relief may be granted as per G.O.Rt.No.88, dated 28.05.2019.

It is to be seen from the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner also has a right to make an application and the same may be considered by the respondent authorities.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, it is open for the petitioner to make an application and it is for the respondent authorities to consider the request of the petitioner and if respondents feel that the second application cannot be considered, it is for them to pass orders keeping in view of above facts and circumstances.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel to the disposal of this writ petition, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

29-05-2019

Nvl

