

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.AMARNATH GOUD

M.A.C.M.A.MPNo. 2513 of 2017

AND

M.A.C.M.A.No. 1344 of 2017

COMMON JUDGMENT:

Though learned counsel for the appellant submitted that she has given details of condoning the delay of 2002 days in filing the appeal at paras 2 and 3, no such day to day delay or any cogent reasons have been stated.

Respondents filed counter vehemently opposing for delay condonation and relied on judgment of the Apex Court in **Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority and another v Gopi Chand Atreja**¹ that the inordinate delay and unexplained delay cannot be condoned.

As there are no proper reasons explaining the inordinate delay and in view of decision of the Apex Court in **Gopichand Atreja's** case referred supra, the condone delay application is dismissed and accordingly, MACMA is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this appeal shall stand closed.

T. AMARNATH GOUD, J

15.07.2019
kvrm

¹ AIR 2019 SC 1423