

HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

I.A.Nos.3, 4 and 5 of 2019
in/and
CRIMINAL PETITION No.2365 of 2019

ORDER:

1) The petitioner, who is accused in Crime No.80 of 2018 on the file of Chikkadapalli Police Station, Hyderabad, filed this Criminal Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings in the above crime registered for the offences under Sections 505 (ii) IPC.

2) During pendency of the Criminal Petition, I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2019 came to be filed by the second respondent to permit him to file compromise petition and to compound the offence by recording the compromise. Along with the petitions, compromise petition and joint compromise memo came to be filed, *inter alia*, stating that at the intervention of elders and well wishers, the parties have settled their disputes in terms of the compromise and that the defacto complainant does not wish to proceed with the case against the petitioner/ accused. The said compromise petition and joint compromise memo have been supported by the affidavit of the second respondent.

3) After filing the petitions in I.A.Nos.3 and 4 of 2019 by the second respondent, the petitioner/ accused filed I.A.No.5 of 2019 to amend the prayer in the main petition by deleting 'to quash the Crime No.80 of 2018 on the file of Chikkadapally Police Station' by substituting 'to quash in C.C.No.2418 of 2019 on the file of IX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad'. It is stated

in the petition that the police after completing the investigation into the case, filed the charge sheet before the IX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, and that the said crime is numbered as C.C.No.2418 of 2019, hence, the petition may be ordered.

4) Today, both the parties are present before this Court and the parties and their signatures were identified by their respective counsel.

5) This Court, when examined, both the parties have stated that at the instance of the elders, they have settled the matter out of the Court and the second respondent has no objection for quashing the proceedings against the petitioner/ accused.

6) In the light of the compromise arrived at between the parties, the joint compromise memo filed by both the parties is recorded and I.A.Nos.3, 4 and 5 of 2019 are ordered.

7) Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed in terms of compromise, and the proceedings pending in C.C.No.2418 of 2019 on the file of IX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad, against the present petitioner are hereby quashed.

8) Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

21.12.2019
kvr