

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G SRI DEVI
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 8094 OF 2019**

Between:

1. Y. SHYAMALA, W/o. Lingam, (A2)
2. Yasaraveni Praveen, S/o. Lingam, (A3)

Petitioners/Accused No.2 &3

AND

The State of Telangana, through Station House Officer, P.S,
Veernapally, Rajanna Sircilla District, Rep. by its Public
Prosecutor, High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad.

Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the
circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the
Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the
S.H.O. P.S.Veernapally Rajanna Siricilla Karimnagar Dist or any
other arresting officer to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused NO. 2
and 3 on bail in the event of their arrest in connection with the
Cr.NO.19/2019 of the police P.S.Veeranapally Rajanna Siricilla
District on such terms and conditions;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the
Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon
hearing the arguments of SRI S SURENDER REDDY Advocate for
the Petitioners and the Additional PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, for the
Respondent, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION NO.8094 OF 2019

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Cr.P.C.), is filed by the petitioners/A-2 and A-3, for grant of anticipatory bail in Crime No.19 of 2019 of P.S. Veernapally, Rajanna Siricilla District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 307, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners/A-2 and A-3, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

In the FIR, it is *inter alia* stated that basing on the private complaint filed by the *de facto* complainant before the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Siricilla, which was referred to the police and the present crime was registered. According to the private complaint, complainant was married to A-1 on 17.08.2018 and after 15 days of their marriage, A-1 started suspecting her character and harassing her and not allowed to go outside and not allowed to talk with anybody. He used to beat her all over the body during nights and used to participate in sex with her forcibly. A-1 used to harass the complainant at the instigation of the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 and demanded her to bring additional dowry of Rs.2,00,000/- and when expressed inability to bring the said amount, A-1 beat the complainant and thrown her from the house and threatened her with dire consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 would submit that the entire allegations in the private complaint are only

against A-1 and there are no specific overt acts against the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 and the complainant filed the private complaint against all the family members with an intention to harass them. Except a bald statement of instigation, no specific allegations are attributed against the petitioners/A-2 and A-3. The first petitioner is the mother and the second petitioner is the brother of A-1 and they have nothing to do with the family affairs of A-1 and the *de facto* complainant. It is further submitted that the petitioners are having well reputation in the society and therefore, the question of absconding does not arise. If the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail, they would undertake that they would not tamper the evidence. It is further submitted that the entire investigation is completed except filing of charge sheet. The petitioners are the permanent residents of Bandalingampally Village, Rajanna Sircilla District and are having movable and immovable properties and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties in the event of their enlarging on bail. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A-2 and A-3.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A-2 and A-3.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 on some conditions.

Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 are directed to surrender before the

Station House Officer, Veernapally, Rajanna Sircilla District, within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. On such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 on bail, on their executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to his satisfaction. On such release, the petitioners/A-2 and A-3 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C and co-operate with the investigating officer in investigating the case.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- CH. VENKATESWARLU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, P.S.Veernapally, Rajanna Sircilla, Karimnagar District.
2. The Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Sircilla .
3. One CC to SRI. S SURENDER REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad.[OUT]
5. One Spar Copy

GR

HIGH COURT

GSDJ

DATED:19/12/2019

ORDER

CRLP.No.8094 of 2019

BAIL



A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'S' shape followed by a horizontal line.