

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.AMARNATH GOUD

M.A.C.M.A. No.1270 of 2006

JUDGMENT:

This appeal is filed by the appellant/claimant questioning the order passed in O.P.No.1231 of 2002 dt.01-02-2006 of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal –cum- V Additional District Judge, Nizamabad (for short, the Tribunal).

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 07-06-2002 when the claimant along with others was traveling in the jeep bearing No.AP 20 T 139 from Basar to Jakrampally village and at about 7 pm when he reached near the road leading to Singampalli, its driver drove it in a rash and negligent manner with high speed and lost the control over the jeep and dashed two cyclists and thereafter the jeep was fallen beside the road, due to which, he sustained multiple and grievous injuries on various parts of the body. Hence, he filed claim petition claiming compensation of Rs.2.00 lakhs for the injuries sustained by him.

3. In the claim petition, the 2nd respondent-insurer filed a counter denying the allegations and contended that the amount claimed by the claimant is highly excessive and that it is not liable to pay any compensation and therefore prayed to dismiss the claim petition.

4. After considering the oral and documentary evidence on record, the Tribunal held that the accident occurred due to the rash and negligent driving of the driver of the crime vehicle and accordingly, granted an

amount of Rs.6,000/- towards compensation. Aggrieved by the same, the claimant filed this appeal.

5. Heard and perused the material available on record.

6. As seen from the case on hand, the claimant has not made out his case for considering enhancement of compensation since there is no evidence on record either oral or documentary in support of his claim. Further, on perusal of the impugned order passed by the Tribunal, it is clear that the Tribunal after appreciating the oral and documentary evidence came to the conclusion and rightly granted compensation. I find no illegality or irregularity in the order passed by the Tribunal and therefore the order does not warrant any interference by this Court and accordingly, the appeal is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, the Appeal is dismissed. No costs.

8. Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand dismissed.

T.AMARNATH GOUD, J

Date: 05-11-2019
kvr