

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

W.P.No.6980 of 2019

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of both the parties.

2. This Writ Petition is filed seeking Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner for any suitable post under displaced persons quota as arbitrary, illegal and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and sought a consequential direction to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner under displaced persons quota and also extend all benefits such as seniority and monitory benefits with effect from 26-05-2008.

3. Heard Sri P.V.V.Satyanarayana, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Services-II appearing for the respondents.

4. It has been contended by the petitioner that the respondents have acquired Ac.0.12 gts in Sy. No.281 from the petitioner's family for construction of Jurala Project and the State Government has taken a policy decision in G.O.Ms.No.98, dated 15-04-1986 to provide employment to such of those displaced persons whose land is acquired for construction of irrigation projects. He has submitted that he has made the representations to the respondents on

10-10-2018 and 15-10-2018 requesting the respondents to provide suitable employment in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98, dated 15-04-1986. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondents neither passed any orders on the representations nor considered the case of the petitioner for appointment in any suitable post in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98, dated 15-04-1986.

5. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner contends that appropriate orders be passed in the Writ Petition with a direction to the respondent Nos.3 and 4 to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment in any suitable post in terms of G.O.Ms.No.98, dated 15-04-1986.

6. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submits that the case of the petitioner would be considered and appropriate orders would be passed on the representations submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law.

7. This Court, having considered the submissions made by both the parties, is of the considered view that the Writ Petition can be disposed of directing the respondent Nos.3 and 4 to consider the representations submitted by the petitioner on 10-10-2018 and on 15-10-2018 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, it is needless to say that the petitioner can also submit fresh representation projecting all the issues and the respondents shall also

consider that fresh representation along with earlier representations and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

8. With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

9. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

Date: 02-04-2019
kvr

