

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION NO.3061 OF 2019

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the petitioner's case for compassionate appointment against Junior Assistant post or any other suitable post pursuant to the representations dated 06.03.2017 and 25.06.2018 made by the petitioner's mother, as arbitrary, illegal and violative of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and sought a consequential direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner's case for compassionate appointment against Junior Assistant post or any other suitable post, with all consequential benefits.

Heard Sri P. Lakshma Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and the learned Special Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondents.

It has been contended by the petitioner that her father was employed as Head Constable with the respondents and he has expired on 24.05.2016 while serving with the respondents. Thereafter, the mother of the petitioner submitted representations on 06.03.2017 and 25.06.2018 requesting the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds, but so far the respondents have neither passed any orders on the said representations nor appointed the petitioner on compassionate grounds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide proceedings dated 08.10.2018, the Director General of Police has forwarded the case of the petitioner to the State Government for appropriate orders, but so far

the State Government has not passed any orders on the said proceedings of the Director General of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that appropriate orders be passed in the writ petition directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds in accordance with the Rules.

Learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents had submitted that the case of the petitioner would be considered and appropriate orders would be passed in accordance with the Rules.

This Court, having considered the rival submissions made by the learned counsel for the respective parties, is of the considered view that this writ petition can be disposed of directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds and pass appropriate orders in accordance with the Rules within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J

Dated: 15th February, 2019

v v