

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.KESHA VA RAO

W.P.No.17087 of 2014

ORDER:

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

2. The prayer sought in this Writ Petition is as under:

“.....to issue Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 4th respondent in calling the petitioners to the police station and making them to sit in the police station for hours together at the instance of 5th respondent without being any complaint or case registering against the petitioners and thereby causing interference with their personal life and liberty, as being illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take action against 4th respondent for misusing his official power and adopting illegal methods.”

3. Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents placed on record written instructions dt.18-10-2019 issued by the Sub-Inspector of Police, WPS CCS, Hyderabad City.

4. From the perusal of the said written instructions, it is revealed that the 5th respondent herein lodged a complaint before the S.H.O., WPS CCS, Hyderabad City, stating that she got married to Mohd. Akram Mohiuddin and after marriage, the 1st petitioner and her in-laws harassed her. Therefore, she requested to take necessary action. After receipt of the complaint, both parties are called to the police station and were given counseling. After that, neither the 5th respondent nor the petitioners approached the S.H.O. Further, the allegations made by the petitioner that the 4th respondent is calling

them to police station and making them to sit in the police station for hours together at the instance of 5th respondent are specifically denied since the complaint was lodged by 5th respondent against the petitioners. In such circumstances only, the petitioners were called to the police station for counseling.

5. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that there are no merits in the Writ petition since the petitioner was called to the police station only on the complaint lodged by the 5th respondent for counseling but not for any other purpose. Thus, there are no merits in the Writ Petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

7. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand dismissed.

JUSTICE P.KESHA VA RAO

Date: 23-12-2019

kvr