

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P. KESHA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.16536 of 2014

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Government Pleader for Home.

2. The prayer sought in the writ petition is as under:-

“...to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, to declare the inaction of the 3rd respondent in taking action against the 5th respondent and his family members pursuant to the complaint dt.4.6.2014 as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust and consequently direct the respondent No.3 herein to take appropriate action on complaint dt.4.6.2014 and also against the 4th respondent for his dereliction of duties, and to grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon’ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of this Court that the matter has been compromised between the petitioner and the 5th respondent. However, learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 4 placed on record the written instructions, dated 05.12.2019, issued by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Kanchanbagh Police Station, Hyderabad City.

4. From a perusal of the said written instructions, it is revealed that basing on the complaint lodged by the petitioner, a case in Crime No.140 of 2014 was registered for the offences under Sections 447,

323 and 506 read with 34 IPC on the file of the Kanchanbagh Police Station against Mohammed Saher and others for criminally trespassing into the house of the petitioner and causing injuries to her and also threatening her with dire consequences. During the course of investigation, the witnesses were examined and their detailed statements were recorded. After completion of investigation, charge sheet was filed before the VII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Nampally, vide C.C.No.841 of 2014. It is also further mentioned in the written instructions that both the parties settled the matter by way of compromise before the Lok Adalat on 12.09.2015.

5. In that view of the matter, this Court is of the opinion that no further cause would survive in the writ petition.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is closed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

6th December 2019

mar

P. KESHAVA RAO, J