



WEB COPY

**CONT.P(MD).No.1752 of 2018**

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 24.09.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **S.S.SUNDAR**

CONT.P. (MD) .No.1752 of 2018

in

W.P. (MD) No.20969 of 2015

M.Suriyavel

...Petitioner/Petitioner

Vs.

1.Rajeshwaran,  
The General Manager,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation  
(Madurai) Limited,  
Dindigul Region, Dindigul.

2.Muniyappan,  
The Administrator,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employees'  
Pension Fund Trust,  
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Anna Salai,  
Chennai -2. ...Contemnors/Respondents

PRAYER: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the contemnors/respondents for willfully disobeying and not complying with the order of this Court, dated 26.11.2015 in W.P. (MD) No.20969 of 2015.

Prayer in WP (MD) . 20969/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondents to pay the petitioner Rs.4,52,400/- towards Gratuity and Rs 1,51,906/- towards Leave Salary, along with arrears of Salary for the period from 01.09.2013 to 31.06.2014 payable pursuant new wage settlement together with 18% interest per annum and further directing the respondents to pay him Rs 2,10,346/- towards the Pension Cumulation amount along with arrears of pension for the period from 01.07.2014 by reversing his pension together with 18% interest as per annum.

For Petitioner :Mr.S.Arunachalam

For Respondents :Mr.J.Senthilkumaraiah

#### **ORDER**

The learned Counsel for the respondents reported that terminal benefits with interest at 6% as per direction of this Court has already been settled to the petitioner. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is a difference in calculation and



the petitioner is entitled to something more than what was paid to him. However, the learned Counsel for the respondent has raised objection regarding the entitlement of the petitioner beyond what has been paid as per the communication received from the Corporation.

2. In these circumstances, the Contempt Petition is closed. However, liberty is given to the petitioner to workout his remedy in the manner known to law, if he is entitled to more than what has been paid to him pursuant to the order of this Court.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (w)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1. Rajeshwaran,  
The General Manager,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation  
(Madurai) Limited,  
Dindigul Region, Dindigul.

2. Muniyappan,  
The Administrator,  
Tamil Nadu State Transport Employees'  
Pension Fund Trust,  
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Anna Salai,  
Chennai -2.

+1 cc Mr.J.SENTHIL KUMARAI AH ,Advocate, SR.No.89353

cmr

CONT.P. (MD) .No.1752 of 2018  
24.09.2019

\_KK/SAR/09.10.2019/2P-4C/