



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 01.10.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

W.P. (MD)Nos.1487 & 1488 of 2017

WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.1487 of 2017

M.Saraswathi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to the Government/
Convenor of Madurai Kamaraj University,
Department of Higher Education,
Fort. St. George,
Chennai-9.

2.The Registrar,
Madurai Kamaraj University,
Palkalai Nagar,
Madurai-625 021.

... Respondents


PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the petitioner's service as Clerk with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of service i.e., from 03.02.2013 along with all consequential benefits within the time period stipulated by this Court.

W.P(MD)No.1488 of 2017

V.Sugarnadevi

... Petitioner

Vs.



1.The Secretary to the Government/
Convenor of Madurai Kamaraj University,
Department of Higher Education,
Fort. St. George,
Chennai-9.

2.The Registrar,
Madurai Kamaraj University,
Palkalai Nagar,
Madurai-625 021.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the petitioner's service as Clerk with effect from the date of completion of 10 years of service i.e., from 02.02.2004 along with all consequential benefits within the time period stipulated by this Court.

Appearance in both Wps

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy
For Respondents : Mr.T.Sakthi Kumaran


COMMON ORDER

The issue involved in both the writ petitions is interlinked and therefore, both the writ petitions are disposed of by this common order.

2. Though a larger relief has been prayed in these writ petitions to regularise the petitioner's service from the date of completion of 10 years on 02.02.2004 and on 03.02.2013 respectively, when the matters are taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider their representations, dated 11.01.2017.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent submitted that the Government has issued a direction to the respondents University not to regularise the employees.

4. Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the respondents, this Court directs the petitioners to submit a fresh representation enclosing the service particulars to the second respondent, within a period of two weeks



from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on receipt of such representations, the second respondent is directed to consider the same and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve (12) weeks thereafter.

5. With the above directions, these writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1.The Secretary to the Government/
Convenor of Madurai Kamaraj University,
Department of Higher Education,
Fort. St. George,
Chennai-9.

2.The Registrar,
Madurai Kamaraj University,
Palkalai Nagar,
Madurai-625 021.

+2 CC to M/s.T.SAKTHI KUMARAN, Advocate (SR-90685[F] dated 01/10/2019

W.P. (MD) Nos.1487 & 1488 of 2017

01.10.2019

_KK/SAR/04.10.2019/3P-5C/