



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Date : 17/12/2019

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.18958 of 2019

1.Manickavel
2.Sankarapandian
3.Suseela
(petitioners 2 and 3 are suo motu impleaded
in this petition, vide order dated 17.12.2019
in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18958 of 2019)

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Soolakarai Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(In Crime No.295 of 2019).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s. M. Subash Babu, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Robinson,
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.295 of 2019 on the file of
the respondent police.


ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Sankarapandian and Suseela are suo motu impleaded as
petitioners in this petition.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and
the learned Government Advocate(CrI. Side) appearing for the
respondent.

3. The petitioners apprehending arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 406,

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



420, 506(ii) and 306 of I.P.C., in Crime No.295 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

4.The petitioners' counsel states that the petitioners cannot be blamed for the unfortunate death of the victims. The victims have suffered extensive business loss and therefore, they have committed suicide. It is not fair to blame the petitioners for the same.

5.The petitioner's counsel brought to my notice the detailed suicide note written by the deceased. In the suicide note, serious allegations have been made against the first petitioner. Of-course, the petitioners 2 and 3 have also been named in the suicide note.

6.I am of the view that while venting the anger against the first petitioner, the petitioners 2 and 3 along with the first petitioner's wife have also been implicated. I have already granted anticipatory bail to the first petitioner's wife.

7.I am of the view that the petitioners 2 and 3 stand on the same footing as that of the first petitioner's wife. Therefore, even while granting anticipatory bail for the petitioners 2 and 3, in view of the serious allegations made against the first petitioner herein, this criminal original petition is dismissed as regards the first petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners 2 and 3 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar, and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners 2 and 3 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners 2 and 3 shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C scrupulously.

9. The petitioners 2 and 3 shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed.

sd/-
17/12/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.I,
VIRUDHUNAGAR.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOOLAKARAI POLICE STATION, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M.SUBASH BABU, Advocate (SR-22097[I] 18/12/2019)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18958 of 2019

Date :17/12/2019

SDS/JC/SAR-4 (20.12.2019) 3P 6C