



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 16.12.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18894 of 2019

and Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.11087 & 11088 of 2019


WEB COPY

1. Ravana
2. Anbarasu
3. Maitheen Bava
4. Bilavendran
5. Murugesan
6. Mohandoss
7. Veerakumar
8. Krishnamoorthy
9. Kalimuthu
10. S. Manivel
11. R. Sahul Hammed
12. S. Ramdoss
13. Maideen Batcha
14. Periyarmani
15. Mohammed Rafiq
16. Hakkem Sait, S/o.Kathar Maideen
17. S.A. Mathiya Divarasan
18. K. Prabu
19. A. Ganesan
20. Jeeva Sangamithran
21. Hakkim Sait, S/o.Kathursha
22. G.Pandiyan
23. Hakkim Sait, S/o.Jaffar Sait
24. Pagalavan
25. Gnanasekar
26. Ferna
27. C. Ravanan
28. Periyar Nambi
29. Hakkim Sait, S/o.Kamuludeen
30. K. Kumaresan
31. S. Gopi Krishnan
32. V. Kaliraj
33. SU.S. Manoharan
34. V. Ganesan

... Petitioners

-Vs-

1. State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Dindigul.
(Crime No. 37 of 2015)



2. C. Kannan,
The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station, Dindigul.

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records pertaining to C.C. No. 12 of 2015 pending before the Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Dindigul for the offences under Sections 143 & 188 of IPC on the file of the first respondent and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.S. Vanchinathan
For R-1 : Mr.S. Chandrasekar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C. No.12 of 2015 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul for the offences under Sections 143 & 188 of IPC on the file of the first respondent as against the petitioners.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the facts of the case are exactly similar to the case covered in the decision reported in **2018 2 LW (Crl) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018** and in the case of **Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and other** in batch of cases in **Crl.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019**

3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the facts of the case are similar to the facts covered under the Judgment referred above.

4.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police.

5. Perused the material records available on record.

6.The facts of this case is similar to the facts covered by the Judgment of this Court reported in **2018 2 LW (Crl) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018** and in case of **Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and others in batch of cases in Crl.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019**. Accordingly, the proceedings in C.C. No. 12 of 2015 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III,



Dindigul is hereby quashed insofar as the petitioners are concerned and the Criminal Original Petition is allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ksa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Dindigul.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to MR.R.GANESH PRABU, Advocate (SR-105218[F] dated
16/12/2019)

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.18894 of 2019
16.12.2019

MK (21.01.2020) 3P 5C