



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 16.12.2019

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Cr1.O.P.[MD] No.18624 of 2019

1.Palanichamy
2.Poongothai
3.R.Ilakkiya

: Petitioners

vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai.

2.The Inspector of Police,
S.V.Mangalam Police Station,
Singampunari,
Sivagangai District.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure to direct the respondent police not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Anandkumar

For Respondents : Mr.S.Chandrasekar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondent police not to harass the petitioners in the guise of enquiry.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the respondent police harassed the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

3.When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that earlier on the complaint given by one Nallammal, a case in Crime No.140 of 2019 was registered against the first petitioner. Subsequently, the petitioners have caused damage to the fence of the said Nallammal. In respect of which, a complaint has been given by her on 09.12.2019. Based on which, enquiry has been initiated in CSR No.252 of 2019 and the petitioners have been summoned for enquiry, however, they have not appeared for the enquiry.



4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondent police has been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non-cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.



10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

WEB COPY

// True Copy //

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (co)

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

skn

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
S.V.Mangalam Police Station,
Singampunari,
Sivagangai District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.J.ANANDKUMAR, Advocate Sr.No.105615

Cr1.O.P.[MD] No.18624 of 2019
16.12.2019

VB(20.01.2020) 3P 5C