



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED :17.12.2019

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **T.KRISHNAVALLI**

Cr1.R.C(MD)No.899 of 2019

Duraimanickam

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Sub Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station,
(*)Sivagangai District.
(In Crime No.229 of 2019)

... Respondent

Prayer : This Criminal Revision has been filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to set aside the order in Cr.M.P.No.2517 of 2019, dated 04.10.2019 by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, Sivagangai District and consequently direct the respondent to return the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-58-AY-7101.


For Petitioner : Mr.A.Prasana Rajadurai for Mr.S.Muthalraj
For Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu
Government Advocate (Cr1.side)

O R D E R

The petitioner claims to be the owner of the Ashok Leyland Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN-58-AY-7101. According to the petitioner, the alleged vehicle was seized by the respondent on 14.08.2019 in connection with a case in Crime No.229 of 2019 for the offence under Section 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957. Seeking return of the said vehicle, the petitioner filed a petition before the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, for interim custody. The learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, by order dated 04.10.2019 has dismissed the same. Challenging the said order, the petitioner is before this Court with this petition.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that as per the General Clauses Act, the Special Court can exercise all the powers of Magistrate, so the Special Court can entertain the petition under Sections 451 and 457 of Cr.P.C. But, the special Court without analyzing the G.O on which it was constituted, has rejected the application. It is well settled that during the pendency of confiscation proceedings, the vehicle needs to be returned to the



person, who is entitled to have. This return will have no impact on the confiscation proceeding, as the confiscation proceeding is an independent proceeding.

4. In view of the above, this Criminal Revision is allowed and the order of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, in Cr.M.P.No.2517 of 2019, dated 04.10.2019, is set aside and the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, is directed to return the vehicle to the petitioner subject to the confiscation proceedings on the following conditions:-

(*) (a) The petitioner shall produce the certified copy of the Registration Certificate of the vehicle;

(b) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.229 of 2019 on the file of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order;

(c) The petitioner shall not make any alteration of the vehicle;

(d) The petitioner shall produce the same before the Court **(*) once in a month** until final order is passed in the confiscation proceedings.

5. The Authority under the Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act may proceed with the confiscation proceedings and the petitioner shall obey any order that may be passed in the said proceeding by the authority.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

(*) Corrected as per order of this Court dated 10.01.2020 made in CRL RC(MD).899 of 2019

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsd

To

(*) to be substituted the order already despatched on 03.12.2019

1. The Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Sakkottai Police Station, Sivagangai District.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

