



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **10.12.2019**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P. (MD)No. 18480 of 2019

and Crl.M.P. (MD) Nos. 10850 & 10851 of 2019

WEB COPY

1. DM. Annadurai
2. M. Selvam
3. P. Sankar
4. D. Umapathi
5. K. Rajagopal
6. R. Jayaram
7. S. Senthilkumar
8. S. Ravi
9. VK. Marimuthu
10. M. Chinnathevar
11. M. Selvaraj
12. M. Pandiyaraj
13. Manimaran
14. Thirumoorthi
15. Karubbiah

... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 15

Vs

1. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Sempatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No. 53 of 2017)

..1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Rajaprabu,
Police No.1922,
Sempatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.

...2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to call for the records in C.C. No. 68 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul District for the offences under Sections 143, 188 of IPC and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.KR. Singaravadivel
For R-1 : Mrs.S. Bharathi,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C. No. 68 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul District for the offences under Sections 143, 188 of IPC as against the petitioners.



2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the facts of the case are exactly similar to the case covered in the decision reported in **2018 2 LW (Crl) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018** and in the case of **Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and others** in batch of cases in **Crl.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019**

3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the facts of the case are similar to the facts covered under the Judgment referred above.

4.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police.

5. Perused the material records available on record.

6.The facts of this case is similar to the facts covered by the Judgment of this Court reported in **2018 2 LW (Crl) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018** and in case of **Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and others** in batch of cases in **Crl.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019**. Accordingly, the proceedings in C.C. No. 68 of 2018 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul District is hereby quashed insofar as the petitioners are concerned and the Criminal Original Petition is allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ksa
To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul.



2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Sempatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to MR.KR.SINGARAVADIVEL, Advocate (SR-104291[F] dated
10/12/2019)

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.18480 of 2019
10.12.2019

_KM/ (21.01.2020) 3P 5C