



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.10.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.17882 of 2018

and

Crl.M.P. (md) .No.7920 & 7921 of 2018

WEB COPY

1. B.Rajivkanna
2. P.Balamurugesan
3. B.Pushpam

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Madurai,
Tallakulam,
Madurai-625 002.(Cr.No.44/2018) ... Respondent/Complainant

- 2.Poornapriya ... Respondent/De facto Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. to call for the entire records pertaining to the case in C.C.No.141 of 2018, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai pertaining to Crime No.44 of 2018, on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioners	: Mr.T.K.Gopalan
For R-1	: Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi
	Government Advocate (Criminal side)
For R-2	: Mr.R.Alagumani

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.141 of 2018, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.

2. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the first respondent, on instructions, submitted that the matter has been settled between the petitioners and the second respondent.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have already paid a sum of Rs.2,50,000/- to the second respondent / *de facto* complainant, by way of Demand Draft. He would further submit that while considering the anticipatory bail petition, this Court directed the petitioners to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the Credit of Crime No.44 of 2018, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional



Mahila Court, Madurai and accordingly, the petitioners deposited the said amount before the Court below. The petitioners have no objection in permitting the second respondent / *de facto* complainant to withdraw the said amount.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent / *de facto* complainant would submit that the second respondent has no objection to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.141 of 2018, as against the petitioners herein.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, no useful purpose will be served keeping the proceedings pending against the petitioners. The second respondent / *de facto* complainant is permitted to withdraw the said amount by filing necessary petition before the Court below.

6. In view of the above, the proceedings initiated against the petitioners in C.C.No.141 of 2018, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai, is hereby quashed and accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (C.O)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tsg

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Madurai,
Tallakulam, Madurai-625 002.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.T.K.GOPALAN, Advocate SR-93862.

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.17882 of 2018
22.10.2019

CS (08.11.2019) 2P 5C