



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT  
DATED: **11.11.2019**  
CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**W.P (MD) No.23680 of 2019**  
**and**  
**WMP (MD) No.20283 of 2019**

Pandarachamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirunelveli.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Aalangulam,  
Tirunelveli District,  
Tirunelveli.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Pavoorchatram Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.

4.The Sub Inspector of Police,  
Pavoorchatram Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.

5.Chinnadurai

6.Mayandi

... Respondents

**Prayer :** This petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the second respondent from interfering in the civil dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent pertaining to the subject properties in Survey No.454/3, Pavoorchatram Village, Tirunelveli District and from compelling the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand Only) to the sixth respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.R.Jeyapalam

For R1 to R4 : Mr.R.Anandharaj

Additional Public Prosecutor

**O R D E R**

This petition has been filed forbearing the second respondent from interfering in the civil dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent pertaining to the subject property in Survey



No.454/3 at Pavoorchatram Village, Tirunelveli District and from compelling the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Fifty Thousand Only) to the sixth respondent.

2. It is seen that based on the complaint lodged by the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent, the third respondent issued CSR.No.655 of 2019 and conducted enquiry with the petitioner and the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent. During the enquiry, the petitioner never come to compromise with the respondents 5 and 6 for the reason that the dispute is civil in nature.

3.Considering the above facts and circumstance of the case, the second respondent is directed not to interfere with the civil dispute with the petitioner and the respondent Nos.5 and 6. On the complaint lodged by the 6<sup>th</sup> respondent, if any cognizable offence is made out as against the petitioner, the third respondent is at liberty to take appropriate action as against the petitioner in accordance with law.

4.With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS )

vsd

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Tirunelveli.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Aalangulam,  
Tirunelveli District,  
Tirunelveli.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,  
Pavoorchatram Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.
- 4.The Sub Inspector of Police,  
Pavoorchatram Police Station,  
Tirunelveli District.



5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to Mr.T.R.JEYAPALAM, Advocate ( SR-97304[F] dated 11/11/2019 )

WEB COPY

**W.P (MD) No.23680 of 2019**

**and**

**WMP (MD) No.20283 of 2019**

**11.11.2019**

MK (11.12.2019) 3P 7C