



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 06.11.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P. (MD).No.16023 of 2019

Chellaiah

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Theni District, Theni.
- 2.The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Periyakulam Sub Division,
Theni District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Periyakulam,Theni District.
- 4.Ramadoss,
- 5.Ponnappan,
- 6.Radhakrishnan,

... Respondents

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to direct the 1st respondent to instruct the respondents No.2 and 3 to not to interfere in the pending civil dispute by unnecessarily harassing the petitioner and his family members illegally under the guise of enquiry on the basis of the petitioner's representation, dated 03.10.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Shankar Ganesh

For R1 to R3 : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi

Government Advocate (crl.side)

O R D E R

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents 1 and 2 herein not to interfere with the civil dispute pending before the competent Court of law by giving aid to the 3rd and 4th respondents to construct the wall across the pathway on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 21.10.2019.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that on the complaint given by the petitioner, enquiry is pending before the third respondent police.

4.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the



learned Government Advocate (crl.side) for the respondent police.

5.It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8.In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.



10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (P&A)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

To

1. The Superintendent of Police
Theni District,
Theni.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Periyakulam Sub Division,
Theni District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R.SHANKAR GANESH, Advocate (SR-96827[F]
dated 07/11/2019)

Ls

Crl.O.P. (MD) .No.16023 of 2019
06.11.2019

sma (26.11.2019) 3P 6C