



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.11.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.22086 of 2018

WEB COPY

K.Koyil Raj

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamilnadu rep through its
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Palani Town Police Station,
Palani,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents/Complainant

3.Chinnadurai

4.Paramasivam

5.Balamurugan

6.Kumarathal

... Respondents 3 to 6/Defacto
Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to direct the second respondent to not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry and conducting "Katta Panchayat" on the alleged complaint given by the respondents 3 to 5 herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Vishnuvarthanan
For R1 & R2 : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R6 : Mr.Mani Ananth

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the second respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the



respondent police would submit that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending before the second respondent police.

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent 1 & 2 and learned counsel appearing for the sixth respondent.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall



be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS II)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

dss

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Town Police Station,
Palani, Dindigul District.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1CC TO MR.P.M.VISHNUVARTHANAN, ADVOCATE, SR NO.102248

Crl.O.P. (MD) No.22086 of 2018
27.11.2019

KK/SAR/17.12.2019/3P-5C/