



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.08.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.(MD) No.6457 of 2014
and
M.P.(MD) No.2 of 2014

A.Franklin Jebaraj

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Chairman

Tamilnadu Generation and
Distribution Corporation Limited
No.144, Annasalai, Chennai

2.The Chief Engineer

Tamilnadu Generation and
Distribution Corporation Limited
No.144, Annasalai, Chennai

3.The Chief Engineer / Distribution

Madurai Region
Tamilnadu Generation and Distribution
Corporation Limited
K.Pudur, Madurai

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned selection list of Technical Assistant / Electrical by direct recruitment for the year 2013-14 dated 24.02.2014 issued by the 1st respondent and quash the same in so far as non selection of the petitioner in the post of Technical Assistant / Electrical / Backward class non priority category and consequently direct the respondents to include the name of the petitioner in the selection list of Technical Assistant / Electrical by direct the recruitment for the year 2013-2014 and consequently direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner in the post of Technical Assistant / Electrical in the 3rd respondent office.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Samidurai

For Respondents : Mr.G.Kasinathadurai



O R D E R

When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent / TANGEDCO submitted that the process of selection for appointment to the post of Technical Assistant had been concluded during the year 2014 and the selected candidates were appointed and they are working as Technical Assistants for the past more than five years.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner submitted that the process of selection for appointment to the post of Technical Assistant was not conducted transparently and the established principles for selection were not scrupulously followed by the respondents.

3. This Court cannot adjudicate these broad grounds at this length of time, in view of the fact that the selected candidates were appointed during the year 2014 and they are working as Technical Assistants for the past more than five years. This apart, the writ petitioner has not neither impleaded the appointed candidates as party respondents nor challenged the appointment orders issued in their favour in the present writ petition. However, it is for the writ petitioner to work out his remedy in the manner known to law, if his grievance exists.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

+1 CC to Mr.G. KASI NATHA DURAI, Advocate SR-82497.

+1 CC to Mr.K. SAMI DURAI, Advocate SR-82748.

W.P. (MD) No.6457 of 2014

and

M.P. (MD) No.2 of 2014

19.08.2019

CS(29.08.2019) 2P 3C